Laser Thinning for Monolayer Graphene Formation: Heat Sink and Interference Effect
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Despite recent advances in the synthesis of large-area graphene by using various substrates, such as Ni and Cu,1–6 few-layered graphene or graphene islands on monolayer graphene have been often observed instead of monolayer graphene with high uniformity. Several approaches have been tried for graphene etching: heat-induced etching by oxygen,7 cutting graphene by carbon-soluble metals,8,9 and an e-beam lithography assisted technique.10,11 Previously, graphite oxide cutting by a laser has been reported.12 Nevertheless, none of these approaches provides a means of obtaining monolayer graphene that is scientifically and technologically relevant graphene. The absence of such an approach hampers not only discovery of new science but also pushing the carrier mobility to the limit.

Etching of graphene, in general, involves a complicated heat transfer and dissipation mechanism. Incident light is absorbed, in part, by the graphene layers and transmitted through the layers, which is reflected at the boundary of the substrate. In the case of the Si substrate, two boundaries are formed at the top of the SiO2 layer and at the bottom of the Si substrate. Light is reflected at these boundaries, resulting in light absorbance in the graphene layers again. The amount of reflected light strongly relies on the refractive index and thickness of oxide and Si. As a consequence of light absorption following such complicated multiple reflections, the temperature of the graphene layer is expected to increase. Competition of heat accumulation by light absorption and heat dissipation through planar graphene layers and the perpendicular direction to the substrate determines the temperature of graphene layers.

The purpose of this paper is to design a method of obtaining a monolayer graphene by laser irradiation. We introduced few graphene layers on a SiO2/Si substrate, which was subjected to laser irradiation. Whereas the top graphene layers were etched completely by scanning laser beams, the bottom monolayer graphene remained unetched. This was explained by the heat accumulation on the upper graphene layers by light absorption, whereas the SiO2/Si substrate plays a crucial role as a heat sink for the monolayer graphene to remain unetched. The etching ability was controlled by the oxide layer thickness, congruent with a prediction from Fresnel’s equation. Because of an efficient role of the Si substrate as a heat sink, the undesirable damage of defect formation was prevented.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two types of graphene layers were used as substrates for laser etching in this study:
highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and large-area graphene. HOPG was randomly transferred onto a SiO₂/Si substrate by using a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) mold (Supporting Information, Figure S1). Large-area graphene was synthesized by thermal chemical vapor deposition (TCVD) and transferred by a fishing method onto the SiO₂/Si substrate.¹,²,⁴

Various optical patterns provided in Figure 1b,d clearly show evidence of etching of the graphene layers during laser scanning. As will be discussed later, monolayer graphene remained unetched, independent of the thickness of the original sample. After laser patterning, the whole area was scanned again with a reduced power density for confocal Raman mapping of the G-band (Figure 1c). The dark solid lines depicting the energy band of graphene indicate the disappearance of graphitic layers resulting from the reduction of the G-band intensity, but not zero intensity, whereas the bright area indicates that graphitic layers remained intact during scanning. Chess-board-like and spider-web-like patterns were also demonstrated (Figure 1d). The same pattern phenomena were also observed in the CVD-grown samples on the Ni substrate (Supporting Information, Figure S2). The uniformity of the etched graphene compared with the rough surface of the CVD-grown graphene was also demonstrated by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Supporting Information, Figure S3).

By choosing the proximate scanning interval condition, the continuous removal of graphitic layers was achieved (Figure 2). The etched dark area in Figure 2a (right) is an indication of reduction of the G-band intensity. Although the thickness of the HOPG flakes is slightly different from place to place, indicated by the contrast of G-band mapping in Figure 2a (left), the uniformity of the etched dark area demonstrates efficiency of the laser thinning. Therefore, the thinning of graphitic layers is independent of the thickness of graphitic layers. The transferred HOPG was characterized by the G’-band near 2726 cm⁻¹, whose intensity was relatively low compared with that of the G-band (Figure 2b, left). This is equivalent to approximately 4–5
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graphitic layers as compared to the previous Raman spectroscopy\textsuperscript{13} and optical contrast\textsuperscript{14–23} observations. However, the etched dark area revealed a G-band with a relatively strong Lorentzian intensity near 2664 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (Figure 2b, right), which is equivalent to those of monolayer graphene.\textsuperscript{13} This demonstrates that few-layer graphene can be reduced to monolayer graphene under a reasonable power density range. During this etching process, no appreciable D-band was developed in the remaining monolayer graphene; that is, no clear distinction in the D-band mapping between the scanned and the unscanned regions was visible (inset). Figure 2c shows AFM images of the same sample in the vicinity of the etched area and the related height profile. This clearly demonstrates that the graphene layer remained unchanged after etching with a thickness of 0.8 \( \pm \) 0.2 nm, indicating a monolayer graphene.\textsuperscript{24}

We demonstrate a Raman shift upon local heating for two cases of graphene layers; thick layers (4–5 layer) and monolayer for Figure 3a,b, respectively. The incident laser is absorbed by graphene layers. The local temperature increase was monitored by \textit{in situ} confocal Raman spectroscopy. Phonon softening and thermal broadening of the G-band are expected from the local temperature increase. The increased temperature can be evaluated numerically by the G-band peak shift; 

\[
T = \left[ \omega(T) - \omega(T_1) \right] / \gamma, \text{ where } \omega(T) \text{ is the G-band shift at temperature } T, \omega(T_1) \text{ is the G-band shift at room temperature from an extrapolated method, and } \gamma \text{ is } 0.011 \text{ cm}^{-1}/\degree \text{C and varies slightly with the number of graphene layers.} \textsuperscript{25,26}
\]

When thick graphene (4–5 layers) was exposed to the laser with a high power (Figure 3a), the G-band was downshifted by 16 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. This gives rise to a local temperature increase of \( \sim 1450 \) °C. The full width at half-maximum of the G-band was increased

Figure 3. Phonon softening by accumulated heat with a schematic of heat accumulation in graphene with different thicknesses. Each graphene layer absorbs light and thus becomes heated. The light is reflected from the bottom substrate. The Si substrate acts as a heat sink. The temperature increase was monitored by \textit{in situ} measurements of the G-band shift using confocal Raman spectroscopy. The lower (upper) curve in the Raman spectra was obtained from a low (high)-power laser. Thicker graphene layers (a) absorb more heat than thinner ones (b). A detailed schematic is shown in (c)
by 17 cm$^{-1}$, indicating thermal broadening. Because this experiment was performed under ambient conditions, the thick graphene layers were burnt out by an instantaneous (exposure time = 36 ms) oxidative etching process. The burning temperature of a graphitic flake is 730 °C, as measured from thermogravimetric analysis (Supporting Information, Figure S4). In contrast, for monolayer graphene (Figure 3b), high-power laser irradiation resulted in only a 2–300 °C increase of temperature is expected. During the course of our experiments, the temperature of the Si substrate remained unchanged, implying efficient heat dissipation through the Si substrate. The heavy Si substrate plays a role as a heat sink in this case.

From the optical and thermal points of view (Figure 3c), for the simplest case of normal incident light, the incident light is absorbed on graphene layers. The transmitted light through the graphene layers reaches the top of the SiO$_2$ layer and is partially reflected and transmitted. The transmitted light that reaches the Si substrate is again partially reflected and absorbed. The reflected light from the two interfaces is then absorbed on the graphene layers again. The circles indicate dominant absorption points. As a consequence, the light absorbed by the graphene layers generates local heating on the graphene plane. The generated heat then propagates along the basal plane of graphene because of high thermal conductivity. The heat dissipation perpendicular to the graphene layer is lower than the planar direction by an order of $10^4$ (ref 27). Therefore, the heat dissipation is dominant along the planar direction. A recent report explains that the silicon dioxide substrate suppresses the thermal conductivity of graphene in the planar direction by phonon leakage or additional phonon scattering at the graphene–oxide interface. $^28$

This provides the chances of graphene to increase the temperature by satisfying the condition $Q_G > Q_d$, where $Q_G$ is absorbed heat and $Q_d$ is dissipated heat in graphene, and the temperature in the graphene will increase. In the case of the bottom monolayer graphene, the situation is completely different due to the presence of the substrate. It has been well known that a heat conduction network is well established between the bottom graphene layer and the substrate. $^29$ In this case, $Q'_G \ll Q''_G + Q''_d$, where $Q''_d$ is the dissipated heat through the substrate. $Q''_G$ can be easily dissipated due to heavy mass, which acts as a heat reservoir. As a consequence, the temperature of the bottom graphene layer remains unaltered.

A series of experiments with different numbers of graphene layers and thicknesses of oxide in the substrate were performed to evaluate the efficacy of thinning. Regardless of the thickness of graphene, monolayer graphene was always obtained. The temperature of graphene layers is proportional to the absorbance of the incident light. The absorbance can, in principle, be described by classical optics theory. $^18$ The absorbance of graphene, $A_G$, can be written as $A_G = \alpha G/(\alpha G + \alpha_{SiO_2} + \alpha_{Si})$, where $\alpha$ is the wavelength of incident light; $\alpha G$, $\alpha_{SiO_2}$, and $\alpha_{Si}$ are the respective thicknesses of graphene, SiO$_2$, and Si, respectively; and $n_G$, $n_{SiO_2}$, and $n_{Si}$ are the refractive indices of graphene, SiO$_2$, and Si, respectively. For a given laser power and infinite thickness Si substrate, the reflectance can be described by Fresnel’s equation with and without graphene layers.

This equation is valid with a graphene layer thickness less than the skin depth. The total amount of absorbed light on graphene is then defined by the difference of reflectance, $A_G = (R_{sub} - R_{G/sub})$, where $R_{sub}$ and $R_{G/sub}$ are the reflectance in the absence and presence of graphene layers on the Si substrate, respectively (Supporting Information, eq S5). A three-dimensional graph for the number of graphene layers and the corresponding oxide layer thickness is also provided in Figure 4a. The total absorption of incident light increased gradually as the number of graphene layers increased. To experimentally demonstrate the dependence of the oxide layer thickness on the etching of graphene layers, we prepared the substrate with about 50 different oxide layer thicknesses (Figure 4b) using a buffered oxide etchant (BOE). The solid line in Figure 4c indicates $A_G$.
calculated from Fresnel’s equation as a function of oxide layer thickness for three graphene layers. A periodic repetition with the oxide layer thickness is clearly observed, mainly due to the reflection from the Si substrate. The graphene layer was prepared on this substrate, and laser etching was conducted while measuring Raman spectra simultaneously. The Raman shift follows the $A_G$ curve well, as shown in Figure 4c. The amount of etching observed on the graphene layers was categorized as follows: (i) etched (upper), (ii) partially etched (middle), and (iii) not etched (lower). The lower-bound temperatures of the first and second region are $\sim 1400$ and $\sim 900$ °C, respectively. Graphene layers are partially etched between 850 and 1400 °C, consistent with the burning temperature of graphite powder near 730 °C. Because the duration time of our laser etching process was short due to the fast scan rate we used, a relatively high temperature was required to completely burn up the graphene layers. Accordingly, at temperatures below 700 °C, no etching was observed. The existence of the three etching states agrees well with the theoretical predictions of the $A_G$ curve.

We now demonstrate that the laser thinning effect can be utilized to generate uniform monolayer graphene from CVD-grown graphene (Figure 5a). To synthesize graphene, Cu foil was used. The details have been described elsewhere. The transmittance from the graphene transferred onto the polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate was 96.6% at 550 nm. This is slightly lower than the ideal 97.7% transmittance of monolayer graphene. This was attributed to the formation of small graphene islands that were several layers thick (Figure 5b). After laser irradiation, thick islands or clusters were completely removed, as shown in the optical image (Figure 5c). The AFM image also shows a similar thinning behavior (Figure 5d,e). The surface was cleaned and flattened, as shown in the height profile (Figure 5f,g). No appreciable D-band was developed after etching, as confirmed in the D-band mapping profile (Supporting Information, Figure S6).

CONCLUSION

We have developed the method of acquiring monolayer graphene by laser radiation. The etching effect strongly relies on the wavelength of the laser, the refractive index, and the thickness of the oxide. The obtained monolayer graphene keeps its high crystallinity due to the presence of the substrate as a heat reservoir. In general, the high-power laser could be a drawback for Raman spectroscopy studies. However, the damage on graphene can be prevented by choosing appropriate substrates, as demonstrated here. In conclusion, this simple method of obtaining monolayer graphene opens the possibility for integration of electronic devices in a large area.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

HOPG/SiO$_2$, Wafer. HOPG was purchased from General Electric Advanced Ceramic (GE, ZYH grade). To prepare the PDMS mold, a Sylgard 184 silicon elastomer kit was used. The mixing ratio between the base/curing agent was 10:1. After curing (at 70 °C) for 3 h, the graphitic flake was detached from HOPG and stamped on the silicon wafers with various thicknesses of the silicon oxide layers. Details are as described in the Supporting Information (S1).

CVD Graphene/SiO$_2$, Wafer. Graphene was synthesized in an atmospheric CVD (5 cm in diameter) chamber. The chamber was...
heated to 1030 °C with 500 sccm Ar and 200 sccm H2, CH4 (5 sccm) was then introduced for 5 min. After natural cooling to room temperature in the same atmosphere, graphene was transferred to the Si wafer by the PMMA assisted method.4 Laser Etching. For thinning, graphene samples were scanned by confocal Raman spectroscopy (WITec, 532 nm wavelength, TEM50 mode, ×100 lens, 0.9 N.A.) with high power (>60 mW). A scanning speed of 0.9—10 μm/s was applied along the plane. In this case, the speed of the scanning was not critical.
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